PROCEEDINGS

A meeting of the Lancaster City Council was held in the Town Hall, Morecambe, at 2.00 p.m. on Wednesday, 3 March 2010, when the following Members were present:-

Roger Sherlock (Mayor) Robert Redfern (Deputy Mayor)

Evelyn Archer June Ashworth

John Barnes Jon Barry
Eileen Blamire Susan Bray

Ken Brown Abbott Bryning
Keith Budden Shirley Burns
Anne Chapman Susie Charles

Tina Clifford Chris Coates

John Day Roger Dennison

Jean Dent Sheila Denwood

Sarah Fishwick Jane Fletcher

Melanie Forrest Rebekah Gerrard

John Gilbert Charles Grattan

Mike Greenall Janice Hanson
Emily Heath Helme

Val Histed Tony Johnson
Andrew Kay Janie Kirkman

Geoff Knight

Karen Leytham Roger Mace
Geoff Marsland Ian McCulloch

Stuart Langhorn

Roger Plumb Joyce Pritchard

Peter Robinson Bob Roe
Sylvia Rogerson Ron Sands
Keith Sowden Joyce Taylor
Malcolm Thomas Jude Towers

Morgwn Trolinger Tony Wade

Maia Whitelegg
Peter Williamson
Paul Woodruff

96 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors David Kerr and Rob Smith.

97 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 3rd February 2010 were signed by the Mayor as a correct record.

98 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members advised of the following declarations of interest at this stage:

Councillors Ken Brown, Susie Charles, Chris Coates, Sarah Fishwick and Janice Hanson declared personal interests as Members of the County Council in the items relating to gritting operations and community pools. (Minute Nos. 101, 104 and 105 refer.)

Councillor Sarah Fishwick also declared a prejudicial interest on the items concerning community pools as a relative is employed at one of the pools. (Minute Nos. 104 and 105 refer.)

Councillors June Ashworth, Eileen Blamire, Susie Charles, Melanie Forrest, Sylvia Rogerson and Ron Sands declared personal and prejudicial interests as Board Members of the Dukes Theatre in relation to the level of grant referred to as part of the budget proposals. (Minute No. 107 refers.)

Councillor Stuart Langhorn and Maia Whitelegg declared personal and prejudicial interests in relation to the Dukes Theatre referred to as part of the budget proposals, having received a letter from the Dukes regarding potential increase in fees payable for DT3 as a result of proposed funding cuts. (Minute No. 107 refers.)

Councillors Janie Kirkman and Malcolm Thomas declared personal interests as former Board Members of the Dukes Theatre referred to as part of the budget proposals. (Minute No. 107 refers.)

Councillors Anne Chapman and Emily Heath declared personal interests in relation to the Dukes Theatre referred to as part of the budget proposals, having declared the acceptance of free tickets on an earlier occasion, although this had been below the £25 threshold. (Minute No. 107 refers.)

99 QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC UNDER COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 11

The Mayor advised that one question had been received from a member of the public in accordance with the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 11 and invited Mr. Ian Dent to ask his question of the relevant Cabinet Member. Details of the question, which related to community swimming pools, together with the response and supplementary question, can be found at Appendix A to the Minutes.

At the conclusion of the question, it was noted that there were 2 items on the agenda on this matter and Mr. Dent was invited to remain to hear these debates.

100 PETITIONS AND ADDRESSES

Mr. Denis Buczynski addressed Council in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12 on

behalf of the Lancaster Markets Tenants Association in relation to the proposed closure of the Lancaster Market and Notices to Quit served on the tenants and traders.

He outlined reasons why he thought that the options offered to traders were unrealistic and referred to the public support for retaining the market as evidenced particularly by the petition of over 13,000 names handed in just before the meeting. He acknowledged the financial loss incurred by the City Council but asked that further consideration be given to alternative proposals in order to find a way forward without putting traders out of business.

Councillor Langhorn, Leader of the Council responded, emphasising the need for the City Council to reduce the deficit currently faced as a result of the lease on the building or to find substantial savings elsewhere. He reminded everyone that the decision to look for a single trader had initially been made some time ago and this had not been a sudden decision but the end of a long process. Nevertheless he was prepared to look at options which were being but forward by the Market Traders and others and advised that he had been discussing the matter with other Cabinet Members and intended to move an additional recommendation on the budget item later on the agenda that a working group look at these options and report back to Council for a final decision.

He thanked Mr. Buczynski for his address and invited him and the other members of the public present to stay and hear the debate and decision on this matter later in the meeting.

The Mayor advised that whilst it was normal procedure to refer such addresses for report to the next meeting of the relevant body, on this occasion since the item was included for debate on this agenda, the issues raised by Mr. Buczynski would therefore be taken into account at that time.

101 NOTICE OF MOTION - GRITTING OPERATIONS

(Councillors Brown, Charles, Coates, Fishwick and Hanson declared personal interests in the following item as Members of the County Council.)

Further to Minute No. 94, the Mayor advised that notice of the following motion had been given to the Chief Executive in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 15 by Councillors Melanie Forrest, Jude Towers, Morgwn Trolinger and John Whitelegg and had been deferred at the previous meeting:

"This council congratulates the hard working staff that undertook gritting operations over the Christmas New Year period. Council notes that for many residents especially the elderly and those with mobility difficulties the conditions on footpaths and pavements were very difficult indeed and led to severe inconvenience, injury and isolation.

Bearing in mind these difficulties experienced by thousands of our residents and taxpayers and bearing in mind that resource constraints do not allow for every path to be gritted by council staff, Lancaster City Council requests that the Lancashire County Council take the following actions to reduce these severe problems in the future:

- Provide a comprehensive network of grit bins throughout the district and ensure they are filled before the start of the winter gritting period.
- Put in place arrangements to deal with telephone and e-mail requests to fill the bins on a regular basis during the winter gritting period so that no bin is left empty for more than 24 hours
- Prepare advice on how best to use the gritting supplies and how to keep paths and

pavements clear."

A briefing note setting out background information and the implications for the City Council was provided by the Corporate Director (Community Services), who answered a number of questions prior to the debate.

With the agreement of the meeting, the motion was moved by Councillor Forrest and seconded by Councillor John Whitelegg with the addition of a fourth bullet point:

• Obtain written advice from the LGA or Department of Transport on liabilities in the case of accidents and injuries sustained on areas gritted by members of the public.

It was then moved by Councillor Blamire way of an amendment:

'that the County Council also be asked to consider keeping at least one access road and pavements clear in areas of steep streets.'

This was seconded by Councillor Bryning and with the agreement of the meeting and her seconder accepted by Councillor Forrest as a friendly amendment.

At the conclusion of the debate a vote was taken when many Members voted for the motion, whereupon the Mayor declared the motion clearly carried.

Resolved:

- (1) That this council congratulates the hard working staff that undertook gritting operations over the Christmas New Year period. Council notes that for many residents especially the elderly and those with mobility difficulties the conditions on footpaths and pavements were very difficult indeed and led to severe inconvenience, injury and isolation.
- (2) That, bearing in mind these difficulties experienced by thousands of our residents and taxpayers and bearing in mind that resource constraints do not allow for every path to be gritted by council staff, Lancaster City Council requests that the Lancashire County Council take the following actions to reduce these severe problems in the future:
 - Provide a comprehensive network of grit bins throughout the district and ensure they are filled before the start of the winter gritting period.
 - Put in place arrangements to deal with telephone and e-mail requests to fill the bins on a regular basis during the winter gritting period so that no bin is left empty for more than 24 hours
 - Prepare advice on how best to use the gritting supplies and how to keep paths and pavements clear."
 - Obtain written advice from the LGA or Department of Transport on liabilities in the case of accidents and injuries sustained on areas gritted by members of the public.
 - Consider keeping at least one access road and pavements clear in areas of steep streets.

102 AMENDMENT TO THE SCHEME OF DELEGATION (APPLICATIONS UNDER THE PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1986)

Further to Minute No. 94, the Monitoring Officer submitted a report setting out an amendment to the Scheme of Delegation to officers agreed by Cabinet which required a report to Council in accordance with Rule 1.4 of the Cabinet Procedure Rules.

The recommendation set out in the report to amend the Constitution accordingly was moved by Councillor Langhorn and seconded by Councillor Blamire.

On being put the vote the Mayor declared the proposition clearly carried.

Resolved:

That the Scheme of Delegation in Part 3 Section 15 of the Constitution be amended to reflect the Cabinet delegation to the Chief Executive of authority to make application to the Home Secretary for consent to make an Order under the Public Order Act 1986 in response to an application from the Chief Constable, and to make the appropriate Order following the receipt of the Home Secretary's consent.

103 LEADER'S REPORT

Members were informed that the Leader intended to report on any proceedings since the last Council meeting in presenting the cabinet report on the budget and policy framework later in the meeting (Minute No. 107 refers.)

104 NOTICE OF MOTION - COMMUNITY POOLS

(Councillors Brown, Charles, Coates, Fishwick and Hanson declared personal interests in the following 2 items as Members of the County Council. Councillor Fishwick also declared a prejudicial interest in the following two items and left the room during consideration thereof.)

The Mayor advised that notice of the following motion had been given to the Chief Executive in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 15 by Councillors Robinson, Sowden and Woodruff:

'This Council considers that the decision to give 12 months notice to the County Council to terminate the contract to manage the three Community Pools in Heysham, Carnforth and Hornby, is tantamount to the closure of the aforementioned Community Pools.

This Council, therefore, resolves that the earmarked savings for the financial years 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 be rescinded and the funding for the three Community Pools restored.'

A briefing note setting out background information and the implications for the City Council was provided by the Chief Executive, who answered a number of questions prior to the debate.

The motion was then moved by Councillor Robinson and seconded by Councillor Woodruff.

There followed a lengthy debate at the conclusion of which a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the motion:

Councillors Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Susie Charles, Jean Dent, Helen Helme, Val Histed, Tony Johnson, Andrew Kay, Roger Mace, Peter Robinson, Bob Roe, Sylvia Rogerson, Keith Sowden, Malcolm Thomas, Peter Williamson and Paul Woodruff. (16)

Against the motion:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, June Ashworth, John Barnes, Jon Barry, Eileen Blamire, Abbott Bryning, Keith Budden, Shirley Burns, Anne Chapman, Tina Clifford, John Day, Roger Dennison, Sheila Denwood, Jane Fletcher, Melanie Forrest, Rebekah Gerrard, John Gilbert, Charles Grattan, Mike Greenall, Janice Hanson, Emily Heath, Janie Kirkman, Geoff Knight, Stuart Langhorn, Karen Leytham, Ian McCulloch, Geoff Marsland, Roger Plumb, Joyce Pritchard, Robert Redfern, Ron Sands, Roger Sherlock, Joyce Taylor, Tony Wade, John Whitelegg and Maia Whitelegg. (36)

Abstentions:

Councillors Chris Coates, Jude Towers and Morgwn Trolinger. (3)

16 Members having voted for the motion, 36 against and 3 abstentions, the Mayor declared the motion lost.

105 NOTICE OF MOTION - COMMUNITY POOLS 2

The Mayor advised of a second motion relating to Community Pools, notice of which had been given to the Chief Executive in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 15 by Councillors Roger Mace, Malcolm Thomas and Susan Bray as follows:

"That Council endorses its policy of participating in the Community Swimming Pools Partnership Agreement with the County Council and resolves to discuss further with the County Council the options offered by the County Council to enable the City Council to make savings conditional upon keeping open the Community Swimming Pools for Community use."

A briefing note setting out background information and the implications for the City Council was provided by the Chief Executive who answered a number of questions prior to the debate.

The motion was then moved by Councillor Mace and seconded by Councillor Thomas.

There followed a lengthy debate at the conclusion of which a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the motion:

Councillors Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Anne Chapman, Susie Charles, Jean Dent, Helen Helme, Val Histed, Tony Johnson, Andrew Kay, Roger Mace, Peter Robinson, Bob Roe, Sylvia Rogerson, Keith Sowden, Malcolm Thomas, Jude Towers, Morgwn Trolinger, John Whitelegg, Peter Williamson and Paul Woodruff. (20)

Against the motion:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, June Ashworth, John Barnes, Jon Barry, Eileen Blamire, Abbott Bryning, Keith Budden, Shirley Burns, Chris Coates, John Day, Roger Dennison, Sheila Denwood, Jane Fletcher, Melanie Forrest, John Gilbert, Charles Grattan, Mike Greenall, Janice Hanson, Emily Heath, Janie Kirkman, Stuart Langhorn, Karen Leytham, Ian McCulloch, Geoff Marsland, Roger Plumb, Joyce Pritchard, Robert Redfern, Ron Sands, Roger Sherlock, Joyce Taylor, Tony Wade, and Maia Whitelegg. (32)

Abstentions:

Councillors Chris Coates and Rebekah Gerrard. (2)

20 Members having voted for the motion, 32 against and 2 abstentions, the Mayor declared the motion lost.

With the agreement of the meeting the Mayor adjourned at this point for a 15 minute break.

Council reconvened at 4.50pm.

106 2010/11 BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK - INTRODUCTION

The Mayor advised that Council may wish to suspend Council Procedure Rule 17.4 at this point prior to consideration of the Cabinet's Budget report in order to allow an opportunity for representatives of all political groups to present a budget speech in excess of 5 minutes, prior to consideration of the Cabinet recommendations.

Suspension of standing orders was moved by Councillor Langhorn and seconded by Councillor Gilbert. On being put to the vote the Mayor declared the proposition carried.

Resolved:-

That Council Procedure Rule 17.4 be suspended.

Councillors Stuart Langhorn (Liberal Democrat Group), Keith Budden (Independent Group), Eileen Blamire (Labour Group), Jon Barry (Green Group), Roger Mace (Conservative Group) and Paul Woodruff (Free Independent Group) presented their views in turn on the Council's budget process and the proposals being put forward by Cabinet.

Council Procedure Rule 17.4 back in place.

107 2010/11 BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK - GENERAL FUND REVENUE BUDGET AND CAPITAL PROGRAMME

(Councillors Ashworth, Blamire, Charles, Forrest, Langhorn, Rogerson, Sands and Maia Whitelegg declared prejudicial interests and Councillors Chapman, Heath, Kirkman and Thomas declared personal interests in that part of the following item which related to the funding of the Dukes Theatre.)

The Mayor called on the Leader, Councillor Stuart Langhorn to introduce the Cabinet's Budget and Policy Framework report comprising the current year's revised budget, Cabinet proposals for the General Fund Revenue Budget and Capital Programme as well as the Medium Term Financial Strategy and Prudential Indicators.

He reminded Members that some of the supporting papers included in the agenda and the supplementary reports circulated at the meeting contained exempt information and should anyone wish to refer to the content of these it would be necessary to pass a resolution to exclude the press and public.

The Leader then set out the Cabinet budget proposals in some detail and answered a number of questions on the report.

Referring to doubts which had been expressed over the recent decision of Cabinet in respect of Lancaster Market Hall, the proposals put forward by the market tenants and the

public opinion in evidence at the meeting, Councillor Langhorn moved an additional recommendation alongside those included in the report which he hoped would allow for alternative proposals for the Market Hall to be reconsidered and brought back to Council before the end of the month, as follows:

- 6 (i) That Council defers the decision on Lancaster Market and refers it back to Cabinet for urgent decision.
 - (ii) That a working group of Cabinet Members nominated by the Leader and reporting to Cabinet, be established to consider all the options available on the market and the business plan from the Market traders. (Options should be assessed in terms of risk to the Council, sustainability and value for money for the taxpayer. Cabinet Members should consider the business plan from the existing Market traders, the alternative of a single storey market, alternative market sites and the single trader option. The working group should be requested to invite evidence from existing market traders, the National Federation of Market Traders and the Chamber of Commerce.) Cabinet should make a report and recommendations to a Special Council Meeting before the 31 March 2010.

Rationale:

Council desires a thriving market in Lancaster. This will allow Cabinet to carefully consider this issue in the context of the recent proposal from the Market traders and involve those who wish to make a contribution. It will allow for all alternatives to be tested before being brought for debate at full Council.'

He also moved recommendations (1) to (5) as set out in report, with (2) to (4) being amended to take into account (6) above.

The recommendations, including (6) above were seconded by Councillor Ashworth.

Councillor Barry then moved the following amendments to recommendation (6):

- (1) That the words 'protecting local businesses' be added after 'sustainability in (ii),
- (2) That the National Association of British Market Authorities be added to the list of consultees in (ii), and
- (3) That the rationale be formally included as point (i) of the recommendation, with the word 'indoor' inserted after 'thriving'.

With the agreement of the meeting and his seconder, Councillor Langhorn accepted this as a friendly amendment.

It was then moved by Councillor Mace and seconded by Councillor Charles, by way of amendment to the proposition:

'That Council

- (1) notes that Lancaster Market is a vital part of the fabric of the town, but that the deficit arising from its operation is currently about 6% of the District's Council Tax revenue
- (2) notes that the current level of deficit is unsustainable, and not good value for the Council Tax payers in the district
- (3) notes the need to reduce or eliminate this deficit

(4) resolves that a final Council decision on any budgetary implications outside the budget and policy framework be deferred in order to provide time for the Lancaster Market Tenants Association to make their own proposals for the reduction of the deficit for example by paying a full rent and running the market themselves and for negotiation on their proposals to take place, leading to a further report to Council by 31 March 2010 for possible implementation not later than 31 March 2011

- (5) resolves that no offer be made to an incoming single trader for either the ground floor alone or for the whole building unless such offer includes safeguards to protect council tax payers against the possibility of default or early termination of any new lease.
- (6) approves recommendations 2 to 4 on page 10 of the agenda "as amended" for the above.'

There followed a lengthy debate at the conclusion of which a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the amendment:

Councillors Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Susie Charles, Sarah Fishwick, Charles Grattan, Helen Helme, Val Histed, Tony Johnson, Roger Mace, Sylvia Rogerson, Keith Sowden, Malcolm Thomas, Peter Williamson and Paul Woodruff. (14)

Against the amendment:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, June Ashworth, John Barnes, Jon Barry, Eileen Blamire, Abbott Bryning, Keith Budden, Shirley Burns, Anne Chapman, Tina Clifford, Chris Coates, John Day, Roger Dennison, Jean Dent, Sheila Denwood, Jane Fletcher, Melanie Forrest, Rebekah Gerrard, John Gilbert, Mike Greenall, Janice Hanson, Emily Heath, Andrew Kay, Janie Kirkman, Stuart Langhorn, Karen Leytham, Ian McCulloch, Roger Plumb, Joyce Pritchard, Robert Redfern, Bob Roe, Ron Sands, Roger Sherlock, Joyce Taylor, Jude Towers, Morgwn Trolinger, Tony Wade, John Whitelegg and Maia Whitelegg. (39)

Abstention:

Councillors Peter Robinson. (1)

14 Members having voted for the motion, 39 against and 1 abstention, the Mayor declared the amendment lost.

(At this point Councillors June Ashworth, Eileen Blamire, Susie Charles, Melanie Forrest, Stuart Langhorn, Sylvia Rogerson, Ron Sands and Maia Whitelegg left the meeting for the duration of the debate and voting on the next amendment, having declared a prejudicial interest in relation to the Dukes Theatre.)

It was then moved by Councillor Clifford and seconded by Councillor Redfern by way of an amendment:

'That the proposed budget summarised at Appendix B to the report be amended to include the reinstatement of the earlier cut of £20k grant funding to the Dukes Board as a one-off in 2010/11 to be funded from the £120k contribution to General Fund Balances.'

In supporting this amendment, Councillor Barry suggested that a further reinstatement of £12k grant funding to the Dukes Board could be accommodated in years 2011/12 and 2012/13.

With the approval of the meeting and her seconder, Councillor Clifford agreed to alter her amendment in this way.

There followed a lengthy debate at the conclusion of which a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The request however failed, there being only 5 Members of Council standing to signify their support for this request.

On being put to the vote, 20 Members voted for the amendment, 17 against and 3 abstained, whereupon the Mayor declared the amendment carried.

(Those Members who had declared a prejudicial interest in the Dukes Theatre then returned to the meeting)

It was then moved by Councillor Clifford and seconded by Councillor Redfern, by way of further amendment:

'That the proposed budget summarised at Appendix B to the report be amended to include:

- (a) an additional £40k for playground improvements in 2010/11, and
- (b) an additional £30k towards the running costs of the second quick response vehicle in 2010/11;

both to be funded from the £120k contribution to General Fund Balances.'

At the suggestion of Councillor Barry, and with the approval of the meeting and her seconder, Councillor Clifford agreed to alter her amendment to fund (a) above from the Renewals Reserve.

On being put to the vote the Mayor declared the amendment clearly carried.

He then called for a vote on the substantive motion as amended when a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the motion:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, June Ashworth, John Barnes, Jon Barry, Eileen Blamire, Abbott Bryning, Shirley Burns, Anne Chapman, Tina Clifford, Roger Dennison, Sheila Denwood, Jane Fletcher, Melanie Forrest, Rebekah Gerrard, John Gilbert, Mike Greenall, Emily Heath, Andrew Kay, Janie Kirkman, Stuart Langhorn, Ian McCulloch, Roger Plumb, Joyce Pritchard, Robert Redfern, Ron Sands, Roger Sherlock, Jude Towers, Morgwn Trolinger, John Whitelegg and Maia Whitelegg. (30)

Against the motion:

Councillors Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Keith Budden, Susie Charles, Jean Dent, Sarah Fishwick, Helen Helme, Tony Johnson, Roger Mace, Peter Robinson, Bob Roe, Sylvia Rogerson, Keith Sowden, Malcolm Thomas and Paul Woodruff. (15)

Abstentions:

Councillors Chris Coates (1)

30 Members having voted for the motion, 15 against and 1 abstention, the Mayor declared the motion, carried as amended.

Resolved:

(1) That the City Council's 2009/10 Revised Budget of £24.046M be approved, with the net overspending of £47K being met from Balances.

- (2) That the City Council's 2010/11 General Fund Revenue Budget of £24.740M be approved, together with the supporting proposals as set out in Appendices B to E in the report, subject to the following amendments and taking account of the decision in (6) below, as set out in the updated supporting proposals in Appendix B to the minutes:
 - (a) the reinstatement of the earlier cut of £20k grant funding to the Dukes Theatre as a one-off in 2010/11 to be funded from the £120k contribution to General Fund Balances
 - (b) the inclusion of an additional £12k grant funding to the Dukes Theatre in 2011/12 and 2012/13.
 - (c) an additional £40k for playground improvements in 2010/11 to be funded from the Renewals Reserve.
 - (d) an additional £30k towards the running costs of the second quick response vehicle in 2010/11 to be funded from the £120k contribution to General Fund Balances
- (3) That the Medium Term Financial Strategy covering both revenue and capital investment, and as set out at Appendix F to the report, be approved, as updated by decisions made in (2) above and (6) below.
- (4) That the Capital Programme as set out at Appendix G to the report be approved, subject to amendment to take account of the decision in (6) below and as set out in the updated Appendix C to the minutes, together with the supporting Prudential Indicators at Appendix H to the report as updated by decisions made in (2) above and (6) below.
- (5) That Council notes the Section 151 Officer's advice regarding robustness of budget estimates, the adequacy of reserves and balances and the affordability of borrowing.
- (6) That in relation to Lancaster Market
 - (a) Council desires a thriving indoor market in Lancaster, and wishes to allow Cabinet to carefully consider this issue in the context of the recent proposal from the Market traders and involve those who wish to make a contribution, enabling all alternatives to be tested before being brought for debate at full Council.
 - (b) Council therefore defers its decision on Lancaster Market and refers it back to Cabinet for urgent decision.
 - (c) A working group of Cabinet Members nominated by the Leader and reporting to Cabinet, be established to consider all the options available on the market and the business plan from the Market traders. (Options should be assessed in terms of risk to the Council, sustainability, protecting local businesses and value for money for the taxpayer. Cabinet Members should

consider the business plan from the existing Market traders, the alternative of a single storey market, alternative market sites and the single trader option. The working group should be requested to invite evidence from existing market traders, the National Federation of Market Traders, National Association of British Market Authorities and the Chamber of Commerce.) Cabinet should make a report and recommendations to a Special Council Meeting before the 31 March 2010.

At this point, with the agreement of the meeting, the Mayor adjourned for a 25 minute break.

Council reconvened at 8.30pm.

108 DEFERMENT OF BUSINESS

In view of the time at this point, the Mayor proposed that Council deal only with those items remaining which could not be delayed and defer the remaining items to the next meeting of Council. This was agreed with the consent of the meeting.

Resolved:

That the following items of business be deferred to the next meeting of Council:

- Questions under Council Procedure Rule 12.2
- Minutes of Cabinet (including those deferred from the meeting in February)

109 TREASURY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK 2010/11

Council considered a report of Cabinet which set out various aspects of the Treasury Management Framework for 2010/11 that the Council was required to either formally note or approve by 31 March, in accordance with relevant legislation and/or the Code of Practice for Treasury Management in Local Authorities.

The recommendations set out in the report were moved by Councillor Langhorn and seconded by Councillor Barry.

On being put to the vote the Mayor declared the proposition clearly carried.

Resolved:

- (1) That the updated Code of Practice as reflected in Appendix B to the report be adopted and the Treasury Management Policy Statement as set out in Appendix C to the report be approved.
- (2) That the Treasury Management Strategy for the period 2010/11 to 2012/13, incorporating the Investment Strategy and Treasury Management Indicators, as set out in Appendix D to the report, be approved.

110 COUNCIL TAX 2010/11

Members considered the report of the Head of Financial Services setting out the 2010/11 Council Tax levels for the district, in accordance with the budget proposals approved by Council.

The recommendations set out in the report were moved by Councillor Langhorn and

seconded by Councillor Gilbert. On being put to the vote, many voted for, few against and there was one abstention, whereupon the Mayor declared the proposition clearly carried. **Resolved:**

- (1) That the total General Fund Revenue Budget Requirement for the financial year 2010/11 be set at £25,268,053.00. (City Council £24,740,000 plus Parish Precepts £528,053).
- (2) That it be noted that, under delegated powers in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003 Section 84, the City Council calculated the following amounts for the year 2010/11 in accordance with the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) Regulations 1992 (as amended) made under Section 33(5) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:
 - (a) 43,400.00 being the amount of its Council Tax Base for the whole District;
 - (b) 17,565.99 being the amount of its Council Tax Base for the non-parished part of the District; and

| (0) | Parish | Tax Base | Parish | Tax Base |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|
| (c) | Arkholme-with-Cawood | 159.95 | Over Kellet | 349.23 |
| | Bolton-le-Sands | 1,657.82 | Over Wyresdale | 119.86 |
| | Borwick | 91.05 | Overton | 382.13 |
| | Burrow-with-Burrow | 87.54 | Priest Hutton | 93.35 |
| | Cantsfield | 56.01 | Quernmore | 231.48 |
| | Carnforth | 1,760.63 | Roeburndale | 23.13 |
| | Caton-with-Littledale | 1,067.62 | Scotforth | 135.43 |
| | Claughton | 56.80 | Silverdale | 797.06 |
| | Cockerham | 248.03 | Slyne-with-Hest | 1,338.36 |
| | Ellel | 940.39 | Tatham | 208.08 |
| | Gressingham | 82.48 | Thurnham | 246.54 |
| | Halton-with-Aughton | 890.09 | Tunstall | 63.35 |
| | Heaton-with-Oxcliffe | 764.72 | Warton | 900.14 |
| | Hornby-with-Farleton | 344.10 | Wennington | 59.85 |
| | Ireby and Leck | 111.77 | Whittington | 166.48 |
| | Melling-with-Wrayton | 150.85 | Wray-with-Botton | 217.94 |
| | Middleton | 217.56 | Yealand Conyers | 108.52 |
| | Morecambe Town | 11,271.25 | Yealand | 154.93 |
| | Council | | Redmayne | |
| | Nether Kellet | 279.49 | | |

being the amounts of the Council Tax Base for each Parish within the District.

- (3) That in accordance with the resolutions of Council on 03 February 2010 (minute numbers 93 and 95 refer) and Section 35 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, it be noted that there are no expenses to be treated as the Council's special expenses.
- (4) That the following amounts be now calculated by the City Council for the year 2010/11 in accordance with Sections 32 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:
 - (a) £98,914,653 being the aggregate of the amounts which the City Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2)(a) to (e) of the Act.
 - (b) £73,646,600 being the aggregate of the amounts which the City Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3)(a) to (c) of the Act.

(c) £25,268,053 being the amount by which the aggregate at 4(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 4(b) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act, as its budget requirement for the year.

- (d) £16,396,265 being the aggregate of the sums which the City Council estimates will be payable for the year into its General Fund in respect of redistributed Non-Domestic Rates, Revenue Support Grant and Collection Fund balances.
- (e) £204.42 being the amount at 4(c) above less the amount at 4(d) above, all divided by the amount at 2(a) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 33(1) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year.
- (f) £528,053 being the aggregate amount of special items referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act.
- (g) £192.25 being the amount at 4(e) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 4(f) above by the relevant amount at 2(a) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year without special items.
- (h) £192.25 being the amount given by adding to the amount at 4(g) above the amount of the special item or items relating to dwellings in the non-Parished part of the District, divided by the amount at 2(b) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in the non-Parished part of the District to which one or more special items relate.

| Parish | Tax | Parish | Tax |
|-----------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | Rate £ | | Rate £ |
| Arkholme-with-Cawood | 213.53 | Over Kellet | 215.87 |
| Bolton-le-Sands | 212.06 | Over Wyresdale | 204.76 |
| Borwick | 203.23 | Overton | 219.34 |
| Burrow-with-Burrow | 203.67 | Priest Hutton | 213.67 |
| Cantsfield | 192.25 | Quernmore | 205.21 |
| Carnforth | 210.43 | Roeburndale | 192.25 |
| Caton-with-Littledale | 212.25 | Scotforth | 203.77 |
| Claughton | 216.02 | Silverdale | 217.88 |
| Cockerham | 226.52 | Slyne-with-Hest | 214.31 |
| Ellel | 212.45 | Tatham | 212.67 |
| Gressingham | 203.77 | Thurnham | 210.91 |
| Halton-with-Aughton | 221.31 | Tunstall | 222.24 |
| Heaton-with-Oxcliffe | 203.37 | Warton | 210.27 |
| Hornby-with-Farleton | 217.53 | Wennington | 243.46 |
| Ireby and Leck | 215.41 | Whittington | 212.07 |
| Melling-with-Wrayton | 247.27 | Wray-with-Botton | 224.37 |
| Middleton | 210.64 | Yealand Conyers | 201.46 |
| Morecambe Town | 211.81 | Yealand Redmayne | 216.45 |
| Council | | • | |
| Nether Kellet | 215.51 | | |

being the amounts given by adding to the amount at 4(g) above the amounts of the special item or items relating to dwellings in those parts of the District mentioned above, divided in each case by the relevant amount at 2(c) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amounts of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of the District to which one or more special items relate.

(j) VALUATION BANDS

| Area | Band | Band | Band | Band | | | Band | Band |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | A £ | B £ | C £ | D £ | E £ | F £ | G £ | H £ |
| | £ | L | L | L | £ | L | L | 2 |
| Non Parished Area | 128.17 | 149.53 | 170.89 | 192.25 | 234.97 | 277.69 | 320.42 | 384.50 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Arkholme-with-Cawood | 142.35 | 166.08 | 189.80 | 213.53 | 260.98 | 308.43 | 355.88 | 427.06 |
| Bolton-le-Sands | 141.37 | 164.94 | 188.50 | 212.06 | 259.18 | 306.31 | 353.43 | 424.12 |
| Borwick | 135.49 | 158.07 | 180.65 | 203.23 | 248.39 | 293.55 | 338.72 | 406.46 |
| Burrow-with-Burrow | 135.78 | 158.41 | 181.04 | 203.67 | 248.93 | 294.19 | 339.45 | 407.34 |
| Cantsfield | 128.17 | 149.53 | 170.89 | 192.25 | 234.97 | 277.69 | 320.42 | 384.50 |
| Carnforth | 140.29 | 163.67 | 187.05 | 210.43 | 257.19 | 303.95 | 350.72 | 420.86 |
| Caton-with-Littledale | 141.50 | 165.08 | 188.67 | 212.25 | 259.42 | 306.58 | 353.75 | 424.50 |
| Claughton | 144.01 | 168.02 | 192.02 | 216.02 | 264.02 | 312.03 | 360.03 | 432.04 |
| Cockerham | 151.01 | 176.18 | 201.35 | 226.52 | 276.86 | 327.20 | 377.53 | 453.04 |
| Ellel | 141.63 | 165.24 | 188.84 | 212.45 | 259.66 | 306.87 | 354.08 | 424.90 |
| Gressingham | 135.85 | 158.49 | 181.13 | 203.77 | 249.05 | 294.33 | 339.62 | 407.54 |
| Halton-with-Aughton | 147.54 | 172.13 | 196.72 | 221.31 | 270.49 | 319.67 | 368.85 | 442.62 |
| Heaton-with-Oxcliffe | 135.58 | 158.18 | 180.77 | 203.37 | 248.56 | 293.76 | 338.95 | 406.74 |
| Hornby-with-Farleton | 145.02 | 169.19 | 193.36 | 217.53 | 265.87 | 314.21 | 362.55 | 435.06 |
| Ireby and Leck | 143.61 | 167.54 | 191.48 | 215.41 | 263.28 | 311.15 | 359.02 | 430.82 |
| Melling-with-Wrayton | 164.85 | 192.32 | 219.80 | 247.27 | 302.22 | 357.17 | 412.12 | 494.54 |
| Middleton | 140.43 | 163.83 | 187.24 | 210.64 | 257.45 | 304.26 | 351.07 | 421.28 |
| Morecambe Town | 141.21 | 164.74 | 188.28 | 211.81 | 258.88 | 305.95 | 353.02 | 423.62 |
| Council | 142.67 | 167.60 | 101 FG | 215 51 | 262.40 | 244.20 | 250.40 | 424.02 |
| Nether Kellet | 143.67 | 167.62 | 191.56 | 215.51 | 263.40 | 311.29 | 359.18 | 431.02 |
| Over Kellet | 143.91 | 167.90 | 191.88 | 215.87 | 263.84 | 311.81 | 359.78 | 431.74 |
| Over Wyresdale | 136.51 | 159.26 | 182.01 | 204.76 | 250.26 | 295.76 | 341.27 | 409.52 |
| Overton | 146.23 | 170.60 | 194.97 | 219.34 | 268.08 | 316.82 | 365.57 | 438.68 |
| Priest Hutton | 142.45 | 166.19 | 189.93 | 213.67 | 261.15 | 308.63 | 356.12 | 427.34 |
| Quernmore | 136.81 | 159.61 | 182.41 | 205.21 | 250.81 | 296.41 | 342.02 | 410.42 |
| Roeburndale | 128.17 | 149.53 | 170.89 | 192.25 | 234.97 | 277.69 | 320.42 | 384.50 |
| Scotforth | 135.85 | 158.49 | 181.13 | 203.77 | 249.05 | 294.33 | 339.62 | 407.54 |
| Silverdale | 145.25 | 169.46 | 193.67 | 217.88 | 266.30 | 314.72 | 363.13 | 435.76 |
| Slyne-with-Hest | 142.87 | 166.69 | 190.50 | 214.31 | 261.93 | 309.56 | 357.18 | 428.62 |
| Tatham | 141.78 | 165.41 | 189.04 | 212.67 | 259.93 | 307.19 | 354.45 | 425.34 |
| Thurnham | 140.61 | 164.04 | 187.48 | 210.91 | 257.78 | 304.65 | 351.52 | 421.82 |
| Tunstall | 148.16 | 172.85 | 197.55 | 222.24 | 271.63 | 321.01 | 370.40 | 444.48 |
| Warton | 140.18 | 163.54 | 186.91 | 210.27 | 257.00 | 303.72 | 350.45 | 420.54 |
| Wennington | 162.31 | 189.36 | 216.41 | 243.46 | 297.56 | 351.66 | 405.77 | 486.92 |
| Whittington | 141.38 | 164.94 | 188.51 | 212.07 | 259.20 | 306.32 | 353.45 | 424.14 |
| Wray-with-Botton | 149.58 | 174.51 | 199.44 | 224.37 | 274.23 | 324.09 | 373.95 | 448.74 |
| Yealand Conyers | 134.31 | 156.69 | 179.08 | 201.46 | 246.23 | 291.00 | 335.77 | 402.92 |
| Yealand Redmayne | 144.30 | 168.35 | 192.40 | 216.45 | 264.55 | 312.65 | 360.75 | 432.90 |

being the amounts given by multiplying the relevant amount at 4(h) or 4(i) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in Section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation Band D, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands.

(5) That it be noted that for the year 2010/11 the Lancashire County Council, the Lancashire Police Authority and the Lancashire Fire Authority have stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of the dwellings shown below:

| Valuation Band | Lancashire County Council £ | Lancashire Police Authority £ | Lancashire Fire Authority £ |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| А | 738.87 | 97.51 | 42.43 |
| В | 862.01 | 113.77 | 49.51 |
| С | 985.16 | 130.02 | 56.58 |
| D | 1,108.30 | 146.27 | 63.65 |
| E | 1,354.59 | 178.77 | 77.79 |
| F | 1,600.88 | 211.28 | 91.94 |
| G | 1,847.17 | 243.78 | 106.08 |
| Н | 2,216.60 | 292.54 | 127.30 |

(6) That, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at 4(j) and 5 above the City Council, in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 hereby sets the following amounts as the amounts of Council Tax for the year 2010/11 for each of the categories of dwellings below:

| Area | Band A | Band B | Band C | Band D | Band E | Band F | Band G | Band H |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Non Parished Area | 1,006.98 | 1,174.82 | 1,342.65 | 1,510.47 | 1,846.12 | 2,181.79 | 2,517.45 | 3,020.94 |
| Arkholme-with- Cawood | 1,021.16 | 1,191.37 | 1,361.56 | 1,531.75 | 1,872.13 | 2,212.53 | 2,552.91 | 3,063.50 |
| Bolton-le-Sands | 1,020.18 | 1,190.23 | 1,360.26 | 1,530.28 | 1,870.33 | 2,210.41 | 2,550.46 | 3,060.56 |
| Borwick | 1,014.30 | 1,183.36 | 1,352.41 | 1,521.45 | 1,859.54 | 2,197.65 | 2,535.75 | 3,042.90 |
| Burrow-with- Burrow | 1,014.59 | 1,183.70 | 1,352.80 | 1,521.89 | 1,860.08 | 2,198.29 | 2,536.48 | 3,043.78 |
| Cantsfield | 1,006.98 | 1,174.82 | 1,342.65 | 1,510.47 | 1,846.12 | 2,181.79 | 2,517.45 | 3,020.94 |
| Carnforth | 1,019.10 | 1,188.96 | 1,358.81 | 1,528.65 | 1,868.34 | 2,208.05 | 2,547.75 | 3,057.30 |
| Caton-with- Littledale | 1,020.31 | 1,190.37 | 1,360.43 | 1,530.47 | 1,870.57 | 2,210.68 | 2,550.78 | 3,060.94 |
| Claughton | 1,022.82 | 1,193.31 | 1,363.78 | 1,534.24 | 1,875.17 | 2,216.13 | 2,557.06 | 3,068.48 |
| Cockerham | 1,029.82 | 1,201.47 | 1,373.11 | 1,544.74 | 1,888.01 | 2,231.30 | 2,574.56 | 3,089.48 |
| Ellel | 1,020.44 | 1,190.53 | 1,360.60 | 1,530.67 | 1,870.81 | 2,210.97 | 2,551.11 | 3,061.34 |
| Gressingham | 1,014.66 | 1,183.78 | 1,352.89 | 1,521.99 | 1,860.20 | 2,198.43 | 2,536.65 | 3,043.98 |
| Halton-with- Aughton | 1,026.35 | 1,197.42 | 1,368.48 | 1,539.53 | 1,881.64 | 2,223.77 | 2,565.88 | 3,079.06 |
| Heaton-with- Oxcliffe | 1,014.39 | 1,183.47 | 1,352.53 | 1,521.59 | 1,859.71 | 2,197.86 | 2,535.98 | 3,043.18 |
| Hornby-with- Farleton | 1,023.83 | 1,194.48 | 1,365.12 | 1,535.75 | 1,877.02 | 2,218.31 | 2,559.58 | 3,071.50 |
| Ireby and Leck | 1,022.42 | 1,192.83 | 1,363.24 | 1,533.63 | 1,874.43 | 2,215.25 | 2,556.05 | 3,067.26 |
| Melling-with- Wrayton | 1,043.66 | 1,217.61 | 1,391.56 | 1,565.49 | 1,913.37 | 2,261.27 | 2,609.15 | 3,130.98 |
| Middleton | 1,019.24 | 1,189.12 | 1,359.00 | 1,528.86 | 1,868.60 | 2,208.36 | 2,548.10 | 3,057.72 |
| Morecambe Town Council | 1,020.02 | 1,190.03 | 1,360.04 | 1,530.03 | 1,870.03 | 2,210.05 | 2,550.05 | 3,060.06 |

| | 1 | | | 1 | | | | |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Nether Kellet | 1,022.48 | 1,192.91 | 1,363.32 | 1,533.73 | 1,874.55 | 2,215.39 | 2,556.21 | 3,067.46 |
| Over Kellet | 1,022.72 | 1,193.19 | 1,363.64 | 1,534.09 | 1,874.99 | 2,215.91 | 2,556.81 | 3,068.18 |
| Over Wyresdale | 1,015.32 | 1,184.55 | 1,353.77 | 1,522.98 | 1,861.41 | 2,199.86 | 2,538.30 | 3,045.96 |
| Overton | 1,025.04 | 1,195.89 | 1,366.73 | 1,537.56 | 1,879.23 | 2,220.92 | 2,562.60 | 3,075.12 |
| Priest Hutton | 1,021.26 | 1,191.48 | 1,361.69 | 1,531.89 | 1,872.30 | 2,212.73 | 2,553.15 | 3,063.78 |
| Quernmore | 1,015.62 | 1,184.90 | 1,354.17 | 1,523.43 | 1,861.96 | 2,200.51 | 2,539.05 | 3,046.86 |
| Roeburndale | 1,006.98 | 1,174.82 | 1,342.65 | 1,510.47 | 1,846.12 | 2,181.79 | 2,517.45 | 3,020.94 |
| Scotforth | 1,014.66 | 1,183.78 | 1,352.89 | 1,521.99 | 1,860.20 | 2,198.43 | 2,536.65 | 3,043.98 |
| Silverdale | 1,024.06 | 1,194.75 | 1,365.43 | 1,536.10 | 1,877.45 | 2,218.82 | 2,560.16 | 3,072.20 |
| Slyne-with-Hest | 1,021.68 | 1,191.98 | 1,362.26 | 1,532.53 | 1,873.08 | 2,213.66 | 2,554.21 | 3,065.06 |
| Tatham | 1,020.59 | 1,190.70 | 1,360.80 | 1,530.89 | 1,871.08 | 2,211.29 | 2,551.48 | 3,061.78 |
| Thurnham | 1,019.42 | 1,189.33 | 1,359.24 | 1,529.13 | 1,868.93 | 2,208.75 | 2,548.55 | 3,058.26 |
| Tunstall | 1,026.97 | 1,198.14 | 1,369.31 | 1,540.46 | 1,882.78 | 2,225.11 | 2,567.43 | 3,080.92 |
| Warton | 1,018.99 | 1,188.83 | 1,358.67 | 1,528.49 | 1,868.15 | 2,207.82 | 2,547.48 | 3,056.98 |
| Wennington | 1,041.12 | 1,214.65 | 1,388.17 | 1,561.68 | 1,908.71 | 2,255.76 | 2,602.80 | 3,123.36 |
| Whittington | 1,020.19 | 1,190.23 | 1,360.27 | 1,530.29 | 1,870.35 | 2,210.42 | 2,550.48 | 3,060.58 |
| Wray-with- Botton | 1,028.39 | 1,199.80 | 1,371.20 | 1,542.59 | 1,885.38 | 2,228.19 | 2,570.98 | 3,085.18 |
| Yealand Conyers | 1,013.12 | 1,181.98 | 1,350.84 | 1,519.68 | 1,857.38 | 2,195.10 | 2,532.80 | 3,039.36 |
| Yealand Redmayne | 1,023.11 | 1,193.64 | 1,364.16 | 1,534.67 | 1,875.70 | 2,216.75 | 2,557.78 | 3,069.34 |

Mayor

(The meeting finished at 8.45 p.m.)

Any queries regarding these Minutes, please contact Gillian Noall, Head of Democratic Services telephone (01524) 582060 or email gnoall@lancaster.gov.uk

APPENDIX A

QUESTION FROM MR IAN DENT TO COUNCILLOR JUNE ASHWORTH

In her recommendations, contained in the report to Cabinet, Councillor June Ashworth states that "community swimming" should be re-directed "to the pools identified in 3.3 of this report".

That list comprises 17 pools. Of the 17, 14 are private pools without access to individual members of the public or membership pools which involve subscriptions beyond the means of many people.

Many of the 14 exclude children and young people or restrict their access. Public access family swimming is only available at Salt Ayre and Lancaster University and for limited hours at Capernwray Hall and Holgate Caravan Park where restrictive criteria apply.

One pool on the list is not even a swimming pool, that being the private Hydrotherapy Pool at Bleasedale House School.

Is there any purpose in including in a review of "pools provision" within the area, pools where there is absolutely no possibility of "redirecting community swimming" other than to give the impression that provision is considerably more available than is the actual case and thus potentially mislead the decision making body?

Councillor Ashworth replied: 'The Cabinet report of the 19 January 2010 contained "a review of pools provision within the District". The summary review was based on an independent and objective report on all swimming pools in the Lancaster District - produced by Sport England and supplemented by the City Council's Swimming Development Officer's knowledge of other pools within the District. Officers from Cultural Services contacted each of the swimming pools to ascertain their respective updated positions on programming in terms of community access, club access, and swimming lessons.

'We have a duty to ratepayers and citizens of this District and to give a balanced view on provision of services within the District and this was reflected in the report to cabinet on the 19 January 2010.'

By way of a supplementary question, Mr. Dent asked: 'Should there ever be a situation where the cabinet member with specific responsibility for child and young people advocates a scheme where the educational swimming lessons and facilities for leisure swimming for hundreds of infant, primary and secondary school children and young people are put in jeopardy as a direct result of that said scheme?

It is a basic requirement that our children and young people are taught to swim competently. It is extremely desirable that facilities for these age groups are reasonably accessible and thus there is not recourse to ponds, rivers, canals and the sea in unsuitable and unsupervised locations which can lead to tragic outcomes.'

Councillor Ashworth replied that Lancaster City Council was not closing the Community Pools and reminded him that Lancashire County Council has a Cabinet Member for Children and Young people who also has responsibility for schools and youth services.

* * * *

APPENDIX B

2010/11 Revenue Budget: Savings & Growth

As Approved by Council 03 March 2010

BUDGET PROJECTIONS PER BUDGET REPORT

Approved Savings (see schedule below) Approved Growth (see schedule below)

Net Total

CONTRIBUTION FROM RENEWALS RESERVE CONTRIBUTION TO GENERAL FUND BALANCES

NET REVENUE BUDGET

TARGET REVENUE BUDGET (for a 3.75% year on year increase in basic Council Tax)

REMAINING SAVINGS REQUIREMENT

| 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 |
|----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| 23,999.0 | 24,842.9 | 25,925.3 | 26,320.3 |
| | -472.3 +339.4 | -653.2 +50.9 | -693.4 +51.1 |
| | -132.9 | -602.3 | -642.3 |
| | -40.0 | - | - |
| | +70.0 | - | - |
| | 24,740.0 | 25,323.0 | 25,678.0 |
| | 24,740.0 | 24,552.0 | 24,411.0 |
| ĺ | | 771.0 | 1.267.0 |

| SAVINGS, LINKED TO EFFICIENCY & SAVINGS PROGRAMME | SERVICE | NOTES | -472.3 | -653.2 | -693.4 |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Commissioning & Procurement | | | | | |
| Future Provision of Toilets | Environmental Serivces | Cabinet 10 Nov 09 | - | -5.0 | -21.0 |
| Procurement savings - agency staffing | Corporate | Operational | -20.0 | -20.4 | -20.8 |
| Procurement savings - printing and stationery | Corporate | Operational | -10.0 | -10.2 | -10.4 |
| Customer Services - Customer Insight / Office Equipment | Community Engagement | Operational | -6.5 | -6.6 | -6.7 |
| IT - Telephone / Printing and copying equipment | IS & Change Mgt | Operational | -24.0 | -34.0 | -34.7 |
| Software / Banking Savings | Finance & Revenues | Operational | -30.5 | -31.1 | -31.8 |
| Charging for Services | | | | | |
| Revenues Recovery of Legal Costs | Finance & Revenues | Operational | -127.7 | -130.2 | -132.8 |
| Business Process Re-engineering | | | | | |
| Co-Mingling for recycling | Environmental Serivces | Operational | -245.1 | -403.9 | -423.1 |
| Staffing savings from minor restructure | Finance & Revenues | Operational | -2.5 | -2.6 | -2.7 |
| Increased use of BACS (withdrawal of cheque payments) | Finance & Revenues | Operational | -6.0 | -9.2 | -9.4 |

| GROWTH, LINKED TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES | SERVICE | NOTES | +339.4 | +50.9 | +51.1 |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | |
| Economic Regeneration | | | | | |
| Countryside Projects | Regeneration & Policy | Cabinet 16 Feb 10 | +3.2 | +3.3 | +3.4 |
| Arnside / Silverdale AONB | Regeneration & Policy | Cabinet 16 Feb 10 | +2.7 | +2.8 | +2.9 |
| Forest of Bowland AONB | Regeneration & Policy | Cabinet 16 Feb 10 | +0.8 | +0.8 | +0.8 |
| Chatsworth Gardens | Regeneration & Policy | Cabinet 19 Jan 10 | +60.0 | - | - |
| Morecambe Area Action Plan | Regeneration & Policy | - | +155.0 | - | - |
| Roman Bath House & Vicarage Field | Property Services | Cabinet 19 Jan 10 | +17.7 | +2.0 | +2.0 |
| Dukes | Community Engagement | Council 03 Mar 10 | +20.0 | +12.0 | +12.0 |
| Partnership Working | | | | | |
| Allotments | Community Engagement | Cabinet 06 Oct 09 | +8.0 | +8.0 | +8.0 |
| Fairfield Association Urban Nature Area | Property Services | Cabinet 08 Dec 09 | +2.0 | +2.0 | +2.0 |
| Statutory Services | | | | | |
| Playgrounds | Environmental Services | Council 03 Mar 10 | +40.0 | - | - |
| Quick Response Vehicle | Environmental Services | Council 03 Mar 10 | +30.0 | - | - |
| Climate Change | | | | | |
| Climate Change Initiatives | Community Engagement | Cabinet 10 Nov 09 | - | +20.0 | +20.0 |

| PROPOSALS TO BE TAKEN FORWARD DURING 2010/11 | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|---|---|--|
| SAVINGS: | SERVICE | | | | |
| Business Process Re-engineering / Procurement | | | | | |
| Senior Management Restructure : Net Savings | Corporate | Cabinet 16 Feb 10 | - | | |
| Museums Service : Following termination of agreement | Community Engagement | Cabinet 19 Jan 10 | - | - | |
| Review of Payroll Administration following Fair Pay | Corporate | - | - | | |
| Review of Car Allowances | Corporate | - | - | | |
| Information & Change Management Restructure | IS & Change Mgt | - | - | | |
| GROWTH: | | | | | |
| Statutory Services | | | | | |
| Municipal Buildings Repairs / Facilities Management | Property Services | Cabinet 19 Jan 10 | - | | |

General Fund Gross Capital Programme Approved by Council 03 March 2010

| Service / Scheme | 2009/10 Total | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 5 year Total | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | |
| City Council (Direct) Services Neighbourhood Quick Response Vehicles | 73,000 | | | т | Т | | | |
| District Playground Improvements | 73,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | | | | 120,000 | |
| Other Toilet Works bid Marketgate Toilet Refurbishment | 0 45,000 | 100,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | 60,000 | 90,000 | 430,000 | |
| Fairfield Allotments Extension | 23,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Allotment Improvements bid | 0 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 80,000 | |
| Community Engagement | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Efficiency Schemes bid The Dome (Demolition) | 29,000 20,000 | 20,000 120,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | | | 60,000 120,000 | |
| The Platform Improvements (Subject to business case) | 0 | 108,000 | | | | | 108,000 | |
| Happy Mount Park Natural Adventure Williamson Park Developments | 112,000 0 | 75,000 | | | | | 75,000 | |
| Salt Ayre Athletics Track Security Fencing Salt Ayre Reception Refurbishment | 0 | 20,000 40,000 | | | | | 20,000 40,000 | |
| Salt Ayre Synthetic pitch bid | 0 | 25,000 | | | | | 25,000 | |
| Salt Ayre Reflexions changing rooms bid Salt Ayre Replacement of pool filters bid | 0 | 30,000 18,000 | | | | | 30,000 18,000 | |
| Lancaster Hub TIC Refurbishment | 12,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Storey Institute Centre for Industries Lancaster Science Park (Subject to Cabinet report) | 15,000 2,167,000 | 7,854,000 | 7,219,000 | | | | 0 15,073,000 | |
| Port of Heysham Site 4 Access Improvements Port of Heysham Sites 184 (Payment of Clawback) | 5,000 | | | | | | 0 328,000 | |
| | | 328,000 | | | | | 328,000 | |
| Health and Strategic Housing YMCA Places of Change | 1,496,000 | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| Business Continuity Fall Back Facilities - Salt Ayre | 25,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Disabled Facilities Grants RHP funded schemes (subject to final allocations and Member approval) | 1,089,000 | 1,482,000 | | | | | 0 1,482,000 | |
| District Wide Home Assistance | 49,000 | 1,702,000 | | | | | 0 | |
| Poulton Public Realm-Edward St, Union St, Church Walk Bold Street Renovation Scheme | 40,000 596,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Clarendon Road Car Park | 1,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Clarendon/West End Rd Rear Yard Wall Marlborough Road Demolition | 25,000 17,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Marlborough Road Redevelopment | 95,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| West End Flats-Adactus Post Completion Payment Primrose Street Group Repairs/Renovation | 34,000 25,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Euston Road Group Repairs | 10,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Information Services | | | | | | | | |
| I.T. Infrastructure I.T. Application Systems Renewal | 0 34,000 | 26,000 416,000 | 10,000 | | 35,000 230,000 | | 71,000 646,000 | |
| I.T. Desktop Equipment | 15,000 | 65,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 345,000 | |
| Regeneration & Policy | | | | | | | | |
| Cycling England | 701,000 | 423,000 | | I | | | 423,000 | |
| Artle Beck Improvements (Flood Defences) Christmas Lights Renewals | 55,000 | 150,000 31,000 | | | | | 150,000 31,000 | |
| Strategic Monitoring (River & Sea Defences) | 100,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 550,000 | |
| Denny Beck Bridge Improvements Mill Head Warton (Flood Defences) | 0 453,000 | 139,000 | | | | | 139,000 | |
| Wave Reflection Wall Refurbishment (Subj. to Env. Agency approval) | 22,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | | 2,000,000 | |
| Slynedale Culvert project Morecambe Promenade Frontage | 47,000 0 | 40,000 | | | | | 40,000 | |
| Luneside East - Land Acquisition & Associated Fees | 130,000 | 255,000 | | | | | 255,000 | |
| Luneside East Compensation Claims Morecambe Townscape Heritage Initiative (THI) | 487,000 292,000 | 272,000 | | | | | 272,000 | |
| Poulton Pedestrian Route | 0 | 160,000 | | | | | 160,000 | |
| Public Realm Works | 21,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Property Services | | | 50,000 | | | | 50.000 | |
| Car Park Improvement Programme Customer Service Centres | 16,000 | | 50,000 | | | | 50,000 0 | |
| Fire Safety Works Other Corporate and Municipal Building Works | 76,000 543,000 | 2,639,000 | 2,138,000 | 801,000 | | | 0 5,578,000 | |
| Carnforth CCTV | 0 | 50,000 | 2,136,000 | 801,000 | | | 50,000 | |
| St Leonards House Electrics Festival Market Electrical Works | 105,000 19,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| 67-71 Market Street Works | 130,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Ashton Hall Ceiling Restoration Old Fire Station Renovation Works | 90,000 47,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| | | • | | | | | | |
| Financial Services Icelandic bank impairment capitalisation | 2,047,000 | | | | | | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| GENERAL FUND CAPITAL PROGRAMME | 11,433,000 | 15,572,000 | 10,283,000 | 1,607,000 | 1,021,000 | 286,000 | 28,769,000 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Financing: | | | | | | _ | 46 = 45 | |
| Grants and Contributions Usable Capital Receipts | 7,473,000 1,765,000 | 10,650,000 1,708,000 | 7,811,000 6,591,000 | 592,000 827,000 | 592,000 280,000 | 102,000 184,000 | 19,747,000 9,590,000 | |
| Revenue Financing | 353,000 | 373,000 | 115,000 | 95,000 | 45,000 | 184,000 | 628,000 | |
| Sub-total | 9,591,000 | 12,731,000 | 14,517,000 | 1,514,000 | 917,000 | 286,000 | 29,965,000 | |
| Increase / Deduiation /) in OFD (the death in Observe 1 7) | , , , , | | | | ΙΤ | | 4 400 00 | |
| Increase / Reduiction (-) in CFR (Underlying Change in Borrowing Need) | 1,842,000 | 2,841,000 | -4,234,000 | 93,000 | 104,000 | 200-200 | -1,196,000 | |
| TOTAL FINANCING | 11,433,000 | 15,572,000 | 10,283,000 | 1,607,000 | 1,021,000 | 286,000 | 28,769,000 | |
| Shortfall / Surplus (-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Cumulative Shortfall / Surplus (-) | Ö | 0 | Ö | 0 | 0 | ō | | |
| | - | | | | | | | |
| Capital Receipts Summary | 2009/10 £ | 2010/11 £ | 2011/12 £ | 2012/13 £ | 2013/14 £ | 2014/15 | Total £ | |
| Balance Brought Forwards | | 32 | 136 | 1,099 | 336 | 120 | 32 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Receipts Due In Year | | 1,812 | 7,554 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 9,558 | |
| Receipts Due In Yea In Year Capital Programme Financing Balance Carried Forwards | g: -1,765 | 1,812 -1,708 136 | 7,554 -6,591 1,099 | 64 -827 336 | 64 -280 120 | 64 -184 0 | 9,558 -9,590 0 | |

General Fund Net Capital Programme Approved by Council 03 March 2010

| | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Service / Scheme | 2009/10 Total | 2009/10 Grants | 2009/10 Gross total | | | | | | 5 year Net | Grants & | |
| | | Grants | Gross total | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | Total | Contribs. | 5 year Total |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| City Council (Direct) Services | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Neighbourhood Quick Response Vehicles District Playground Improvements | 0 | 73,000 | 73,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | | | | 0 120,000 | 0 | 0 120,000 |
| Other Toilet Works bid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | 60,000 | 90,000 | 430,000 | 0 | 430,000 |
| Marketgate Toilet Refurbishment | 45,000 | 0 | 45,000 | | | • | • | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fairfield Allotments Extension Allotment Improvements bid | 0 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 0 80,000 | 0 | 0 80,000 |
| | · | 0 | | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 00,000 | · | 00,000 |
| Community Engagement Energy Efficiency Schemes bid | 29,000 | 0 | 29,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | | | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 |
| The Dome (Demolition) | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 120,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | | | 120,000 | 0 | 120,000 |
| The Platform Improvements (Subject to business case) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108,000 | | | | | 108,000 | 0 | 108,000 |
| Happy Mount Park Natural Adventure Williamson Park Developments | 5,000 0 | 107,000 0 | 112,000 0 | 75,000 | | | | | 75,000 | 0 | 75,000 |
| Salt Ayre Athletics Track Security Fencing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | | | | | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 |
| Salt Ayre Reception Refurbishment Salt Ayre Synthetic pitch bid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 25,000 | | | | | 40,000 25,000 | 0 | 40,000 25,000 |
| Salt Ayre Reflexions changing rooms bid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | | | | | 30,000 | 0 | 30,000 |
| Salt Ayre Replacement of pool filters bid Lancaster Hub TIC Refurbishment | 0 12,000 | 0 | 0 12,000 | 18,000 | | | | | 18,000 | 0 | 18,000 |
| Storey Institute Centre for Industries | 0 | 15,000 | 15,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lancaster Science Park (Subject to Cabinet report) | 0 | 2,167,000 | 2,167,000 | | | | | | 0 | 15,073,000 | 15,073,000 |
| Port of Heysham Site 4 Access Improvements Port of Heysham Sites 1&4 (Payment of Clawback) | 5,000 0 | 0 | 5,000 0 | 328,000 | | | | | 328,000 | 0 | 328,000 |
| | | | | | | | • | | | | |
| Health and Strategic Housing YMCA Places of Change | OI. | 1,496,000 | 1,496,000 | | ı | | | | 0 | n | 0 |
| Business Continuity Fall Back Facilities - Salt Ayre | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Disabled Facilities Grants RHP funded schemes (subject to final allocations and Member approval) | 0 | 1,089,000 | 1,089,000 | | | | | | 0 0 | 0 1,482,000 | 0 1,482,000 |
| District Wide Home Assistance | 41,000 | 8,000 | 49,000 | | | | | | 0 | 1,402,000 | 0 |
| Poulton Public Realm-Edward St, Union St, Church Walk Bold Street Renovation Scheme | 0 | 40,000 | 40,000 596,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clarendon Road Car Park | 0 | 596,000 1,000 | 1,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clarendon/West End Rd Rear Yard Wall | 0 | 25,000 | 25,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marlborough Road Demolition Marlborough Road Redevelopment | 0 | 17,000 95,000 | 17,000 95,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West End Flats-Adactus Post Completion Payment | 0 | 34,000 | 34,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Primrose Street Group Repairs/Renovation Euston Road Group Repairs | 0 | 25,000 10,000 | 25,000 10,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| · | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | | | | | | U | U | |
| Information Services I.T. Infrastructure | I 0I | 0 | 0 | 26,000 | 10,000 | | 25 000 | | 71,000 | 0 | 71,000 |
| I.T. Application Systems Renewal | 34,000 | 0 | 34,000 | 416,000 | 10,000 | | 35,000 230,000 | | 646,000 | 0 | 646,000 |
| I.T. Desktop Equipment | 15,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 65,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 345,000 | 0 | 345,000 |
| Regeneration & Policy | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycling England | 4,000 | 697,000 | 701,000 | | | | | | 0 | 423,000 | 423,000 |
| Artle Beck Improvements (Flood Defences) Christmas Lights Renewals | 2,000 | 53,000 | 55,000 | 3,000 31,000 | | | | | 3,000 31,000 | 147,000 | 150,000 31,000 |
| Strategic Monitoring (River & Sea Defences) | 4,000 | 96,000 | 100,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 40,000 | 510,000 | 550,000 |
| Denny Beck Bridge Improvements Mill Head Warton (Flood Defences) | 0 4,000 | 0 449,000 | 0 453,000 | 139,000 | | | | | 139,000 | 0 | 139,000 |
| Wave Reflection Wall Refurbishment (Subj. to Env. Agency approval) | 2,000 | 20,000 | 22,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | | 40,000 | 1,960,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Slynedale Culvert project | 2,000 | 45,000 | 47,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Morecambe Promenade Frontage Luneside East - Land Acquisition & Associated Fees | 130,000 | 0 | 130,000 | 40,000 255,000 | | | | | 40,000 255,000 | 0 | 40,000 255,000 |
| Luneside East Compensation Claims | 487,000 | 0 | 487,000 | 272,000 | | | | | 272,000 | 0 | 272,000 |
| Morecambe Townscape Heritage Initiative (THI) | 0 | 292,000 | 292,000 | 20.000 | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poulton Pedestrian Route Public Realm Works | 21,000 | 0 | 21,000 | 33,000 | | | | | 33,000 0 | 127,000 0 | 160,000 0 |
| | | • | _,,,,,, | | | · | | · | - | * | |
| Property Services Car Park Improvement Programme | I 0 | 0 | 0 | | 50,000 | | | | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 |
| Customer Service Centres | 16,000 | 0 | 16,000 | | 30,000 | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fire Safety Works Other Corporate and Municipal Building Works | 76,000 | 0 | 76,000 | 2 620 000 | 2,138,000 | 901.000 | | | 0 5 579 000 | 0 | 0 5,578,000 |
| Carnforth CCTV | 543,000 0 | 0 | 543,000 0 | 2,639,000 25,000 | 2,138,000 | 801,000 | | | 5,578,000 25,000 | 25,000 | 5,578,000 |
| St Leonards House Electrics | 105,000 | 0 | 105,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Festival Market Electrical Works 67-71 Market Street Works | 19,000 130,000 | 0 | 19,000 130,000 | | | | | | 0 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ashton Hall Ceiling Restoration | 90,000 | 0 | 90,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Old Fire Station Renovation Works | 47,000 | 0 | 47,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Financial Services | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Icelandic bank impairment capitalisation | 2,047,000 | 0 | 2,047,000 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GENERAL FUND CAPITAL PROGRAMME | 3,960,000 | 7,473,000 | 11,433,000 | 4,922,000 | 2,472,000 | 1,015,000 | 429,000 | 184,000 | 9,022,000 | 19,747,000 | 28,769,000 |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Financing: | | 7,473,000 | 7,473,000 | | | | | | | 19,747,000 | 19,747,000 |
| Grants and contributions Usable Capital Receipts (see below) | 1,765,000 | 1,413,000 | 7,473,000 1,765,000 | 1,708,000 | 6,591,000 | 827,000 | 280,000 | 184,000 | 9,590,000 | 19,747,000 | 19,747,000 9,590,000 |
| Direct Revenue Financing | 353,000 | | 353,000 | 373,000 | 115,000 | 95,000 | 45,000 | 104,000 | 9,590,000 628,000 | | 628,000 |
| Sub-total | 2,118,000 | 7,473,000 | 9,591,000 | 2,081,000 | 6,706,000 | 922,000 | 325,000 | 184,000 | 10,218,000 | 19,747,000 | 29,965,000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Increase / Reduction (-) in CFR (Underlying Change in Borrowing Need) | 1,842,000 | | 1,842,000 | 2,841,000 | -4,234,000 | 93,000 | 104,000 | | -1,196,000 | | -1,196,000 |
| TOTAL FINANCING | 3,960,000 | 0 | 11,433,000 | 4,922,000 | 2,472,000 | 1,015,000 | 429,000 | 184,000 | 9,022,000 | 19,747,000 | 28,769,000 |
| 01 (11/0 1 ()) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shortfall / Surplus (-) | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Cumulative Shortfall / Surplus (-) | | | 0 | 0 | U | U | U | U | | | |